



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/619,405

07/14/2003

Paul V. Cooper

23438.00040

7654

23619

7590

08/22/2008

SQUIRE SANDERS & DEMPSEY LLP

TWO RENAISSANCE SQUARE, 40 NORTH CENTRAL AVENUE

SUITE 2700

PHOENIX, AZ 85004-4498

EXAMINER

KASTLER, SCOTT R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1793

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/22/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/619,405	<b>Applicant(s)</b> COOPER, PAUL V.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Scott Kastler	<b>Art Unit</b> 1793	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Scott Kastler. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr Starkovich. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 21 August 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-39.

Identification of prior art discussed: Morando'753, Morando'796, Grant.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached.    g) ☐ was not reached.    h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative stressed that in order to combine the teachings of the applied refernces in the manner set forth by the examiner in the final office action, the references would then not be operable in the manner required by the references. For example, the injection of cement into Grant in the manner recited by Morando'796 would be contrary to the desired purpose of Grant.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Scott Kastler/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793	
--	--